<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative title</th>
<th>Fighting talk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author/Creator</td>
<td>Fighting Talk Committee (Johannesburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td>First, Ruth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>Fighting Talk Committee (Johannesburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1957-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource type</td>
<td>Newspapers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Afrikaans, English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (spatial)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (temporal)</td>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Digital Imaging South Africa (DISA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>By kind permission of the Fighting Talk Committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format extent</td>
<td>16 page(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Banned?  SECTION NATAL SOCIETY  By CHIEF A. J. LUTULI

THE BUS BOYCOTT: ROUND TWO  UNIVERSITY APARTHEID  Articles By PROF. I. K. MATTHEWS and DUMA NOKWETREASON TRIAL PROFILE  THE NURSING BILL:  Articles By C. W. M. GELL & M. B. YENGWA

OLIVER TAMBO & L. BERNSTEIN  CHIEF A. J. LUTULIA MONTHLY JOURNAL FOR DEMOCRATS
Strength until it destroys both itself and the body politic unless it is vigorously resisted and stamped out. The end is not.

Tartary. Wherefore in the name of White baas-skap For better or for worse, Tomorrow to fresh laws and restrictions
to increase the dose, The bitter pill of oppressive laws, To delay the crack, the inevitable doom And remain the Lord of
While I am Lord of Tartary. Oppress and repress Deport and depose These four bespeak my policy. For I am bound

destroyed? I cannot stop, I must keep on Now that I'm Lord of Tartary. When Parliament falls into the palm of my

halt too soon I must go on, there's much to do, For who will the apartheid apostle be When I am gonerejected or

justice and the law, And down with liberty, Away with all democracy, Away with all freedoms. And unless they call a

year in, year out; Apartheid more and more. Away with peace and friendship now And even forever more; Away with

SCORPIONS catastrophic road which is known historically to end where a yawning precipice begins. Today, the

Nationalist fire-eaters and storm-troopers gate-crashed into political power in May, 1948, carrying bags of scorpions for the chastise-ment of all and sundry in the name of apartheid, few people in South Africa realised then that the ensuing ten years would see the country NATIONALIST embark so definitely and, so it seems, irretrievably, upon that

Nationalists, in nine short years, have succeeded in doing so much harm to the cause of so many. "DUT the end is

he has given himself the power to deport or banish at will. Could anything be more contemptible? WHEN the

turned on those individual Africans whom he considers weak and helpless, those he thinks cannot fight him, those he has given himself the power to deport or banish at will. Could anything be more contemptible? WHEN the

for the chastise-ment of all and sundry in the name of apartheid, few people in South Africa realised then that the

Parliamentary machine in Cape Town runs at top gear, churning out the apartheid laws to be ruthlessly rammed down

munitions, bannings, deportations, depositions of tribal chiefs, forcible removals of whole communities, police raids, mass arrests, mass hangings and treason trials. In short, there is a state of unprecedented unrest which grows in intensity as the

private lives of individuals, following persons round street corners and from house to house, and should they open

much the same way as a pack of hounds would be sent after their quarry. The Special Branch is busy prying into the

the unwilling throats of the people. Did I say "unwilling throats"? That is not strictly correct. The United Party throats

they have. For who will the apartheid apostle be When I am gonerejected or
denied from them. I can well imagine the National-ists, in 1948, chanting with the poets: "If I were Lord of Tartary NOT YET
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not yet. I can well imagine the National-ists, in 1948, chanting with the poets: "If I were Lord of Tartary NOT YET

Myself and me alone. THE END I would provoke, oppress, Exploit them one and all. But here it comes, the day of days May 26 of '48 And I am Lord of Tartary! Apartheid here, apartheid there Apartheid everywhere; Day in, day out; year in, year out; Apartheid more and more. Away with peace and friendship now And even forever more: Away with justice and the law, And down with liberty, Away with all democracy, Away with all freedoms. And unless they call a

halt too soon I must go on, there's much to do, For who will the apartheid apostle be When I am gone rejected or
destroyed? I cannot stop, I must keep on Now that I am Lord of Tartary. When Parliament falls into the palm of my hand No freedom and no democracy Shall be allowed this land to roam Let laws and decrees this purpose serve

While I am Lord of Tartary, Oppress and repress Deport and depose These four bespeak my policy. For I am bound
to increase the dose, The bitter pill of oppressive laws, To delay the crack, the inevitable doom And remain the Lord of

Tartary. Wherefore in the name of White baas-skap For better or for worse, Tomorrow to fresh laws and restric-tions new." The end is not yet. . . Dictatorship, like a malignant disease of the human body, grows from strength to

strength until it destroys both itself and the body politic unless it is vigorously resisted and stamped out. The end is not
yet: not of Nationalist tyranny, but nor, by any manner of means, of hope for the future of our people and South Africa.
117/1 the Congress Movement Be Banned? Asks Chief A. JT. JLutuli President-General of the A.N.C. STRIJDOM’S WAY-OR OURS “DECENT” press reports have indicated that the Nationalist Government contemplates the banning of the African National Congress and making further very large scale arrests of its members. It is apparent that these reports should be taken seriously. It is not the first time such threats have been made at Cabinet level. The present Treason Trial was itself preceded by a statement in the House that the Minister of Justice was preparing to make 200 arrests. A pertinent question is: why this threat from the Government now? There is no doubt that the emotional appeal to the colour prejudice of White South Africa has always paid the Nationalist Party handsome political dividends. It did so as far back as the issue of the notorious “Black Manifesto” during the regime of the Hertzog leadership of the Nationalist Party. It was this emotional appeal to colour prejudice, by the presentation to the electorate of a programme of apartheid, that swung the Nationalist Party under Dr. Malan into power in 1948.

Apartheid a Common Society The coming 1958 election will hardly be any different. The African National Congress stands for a common society in our multi-racial country. This idea of a common society is consonant with a democratic outlook of life, whereas apartheid is a negation of democracy. A common society implies the acceptance of a free society where individual liberties, so long as they do not endanger the interests of the state, are paramount, and human dignity is upheld. Apartheid is so contrary to natural, normal human behaviour that it has to be enforced by numerous stringent laws and regulations. Inevitably the country becomes a police state, as our country is fast becoming or is already. Apartheid violates all standards of decency and humanity. It keeps people ignorant and suspicious of one another. It results in race antagonisms and common loyalty to what should be a common country is never developed. Are there surer ways of retarding the pio gress of a country than these? It is only closer understanding among the peoples of a nation that has any prospect of creating a united nation living in friendship and mutual respect and these, after all, are the foundations cementing national security and stability. True friendship, mutual respect and understanding grows as a result of contact, based on equality and opportunity for all. Apartheid makes such contact difficult, and now virtually impossible. Fear, suspicion and domination regulate human relations. Is this what the Nationalists want? The early history of Black-White relations in South Africa points to the fact that South Africa was on the road to building a common society. This until White politicians introduced segregation by law. I join those who challenge on historical grounds, and on grounds of normal human behaviour, the claim of the Nationalist Party that apartheid is the traditional policy of South Africa. No persecution will divert the African National Congress from working for a common society in our multi-racial country. This is the only realistic and humane policy. This view is, of course, anathema to the apartheid policy of the Nationalist Party. The Nationalists have no faith in a future where they will enjoy democracy in common with all the people in our land. The Nationalists look upon the numerical preponderance of the Blacks over the Whites as a threat to their existence. They view with apprehension the organisation of the African people under the leadership of the African National Congress. And so, as their fear mounts, we come to be regarded as the arch-enemy of Nationalism. Strijdom's Way, or Ours Perhaps we are. South Africa must move either their way or ours. And the threats of the Nationalist Government against us are a measure of the tide which is starting to flow our way. The Nationalist Party now acknowledges, albeit grudgingly, the power of the African National Congress as a growing political force in the country, and as a spearhead of the growing formidable opposition to its baasskap policy and its dictatorial method of government. The recognition that A.N.C. policy holds out the only perspective for a truly democratic future in South Africa is not limited to Africans alone. Increasingly as United Party opposition to Nationalist policies grows feebler and more hesitant, more and more Europeans must also turn to Congress for its leadership in opposition. Our common needs begin to transcend colour divisions. Whether or not the Nationalists take steps to outlaw the African National Congress this year, in the end such steps will be taken. For, I repeat, it is either their way or ours, and they know this. The carrying out of this threat to ban the Congress would unquestionably cause relations between the Government, as representing the White section only, and the Non-Whites, to deteriorate still further to a point of open hostility and enmity. No government dare view with equanimity the creation of hostile feelings between it and any section of the people in the country. We Will Shape the Future Whether or not the Nationalists will succeed in destroying the African National Congress will depend not on the Nationalist Party alone, but mainly on the determination with which the Congress pursues its aims and carries the people of South Africa forward on the road to a true democracy, based on liberty, fraternity and equality, where moral values shall be respected in the conduct of government and in the regulation of personal relationships. This Nationalist Party threat can be met and beaten. Not by turning aside from the struggle, but by the African National Congress and all lovers of freedom meeting it as a challenge, and answering that challenge by a bolder, more courageous and more vocal stand for all the things they believe in. For myself I am confident that the African National Congress will meet bravely this threat to its existence. We know that the future will be shaped by our own actions. We, the African people, who far outnumber the other racial groups, cannot, in honour, hope to ride to freedom on the backs of other groups struggling for freedom. Such parasitic behaviour would rightly earn us the ire and contempt of our contemporaries everywhere. We must make our own history. And this means that all Africans should feel challenged to give the Congress full support, and make of it an honourable and effective spearhead of the liberatory movement and the principal spokesman of the African people.
There is another aspect of this threat which must be stressed. For the threat to outlaw its opposition reveals the Nationalist Party as having no faith in the democratic form of government, neither for Non-Whites nor Whites. In its ideology the Nationalist Party proclaims the right to trample on the rights of individuals. It resents the independence of local authorities, and now of churches, universities and other private agencies and associations. This trend in exercising autocratic Ministerial control is plainly and sharply revealed in some of the Bills now before Parliament, such as the Native Laws Amendment Bill, and the Bill providing for the establishment of so-called Bantu Universities and for refusing Non-Whites admission into the open universities. Indeed, the determination to deny democratic freedoms to Non-Whites sooner or later becomes a threat to the democracy of every section, a threat inter alia to White citizens, and especially to those who oppose apartheid. In this regard, the threat to outlaw the A.N.C. is equally the concern of the White democrat, of the Coloured and Indian minorities. A ban might succeed in silencing the African National Congress, but it could never drive out from the hearts of the people the Congress spirit, and the eternal thirst for freedom which sooner or later would result in some action of the people to get their freedom. The African people have reached a stage of political awareness where, despite the Government propaganda to the contrary, they have confidence in the African National Congress and unreservedly accept Congress as their premier national organisation, pledged to strive for the attainment of the fundamental aspirations of the African people and the best interests of the country as a whole. I urge the Nationalist Government to desist from carrying out its threat to ban the African National Congress. The A.N.C. is no threat to the peace and progress of the Union. It strives only to make democratic rights available to all people of our country regardless of their race or colour. In its fight for freedom it is pledged to struggle in peaceful, non-violent ways. The African National Congress is happy to struggle to bring about a South Africa where peace and friendship on the basis of equality and mutual respect shall prevail Afrika ! Mayibuye !

TREASON TRIAL PROFILE Greenwood Ngotyane Organiser from the Transkei

ACCUSED NUMBER 97 is thin and wiry, with a rugged face and humorous eyes. He has a halting, diffident manner and his speech is deceptively slow; only his eyes reflect the shrewd Xosa brain that is never slow and seldom unintuitive. Greenwood Ngotyane, agitator extraordinary, was born in an African hut deep in the heart of the Transkei in October, 1922, and as one of the lusty four in ten odd who survive infant mortality, he grew gawkily but surely until he became a herdboy at the ripe old age of ten or eleven, when his future seemed assured. But then a marvellous thing happened to young Greenwood; thanks to an enquiring mind and a tumbleweed mission school, he learnt to read and write, and this great new gift imbued him with the two things Verwoerd must have had in mind when he concocted Bantu Education an unquenchable thirst for knowledge and a feeling of discontent. The first led him to night classes and lending libraries, and the second led him into Congress, the Trade Union Movement and, incidentally, the Drill Hall. He came to Cape Town, where the A.N.C. attracted him like a magnet. He became a fulltime organiser for that body and later for the Congress of the People. At the same time he succeeded in helping to organise the N.E. Railway and Harbour Workers Union until it became a flourishing and militant thorn in the side of the Administration. So exemplary a trade unionist was he, that in 1954 he was elected to represent South African workers at the W.F.T.U. Conference in Bucharest, the first African ever to attend an international workers' conference. This trip to Europe remains the highlight of Greenwood's life. He is a man who radiates sincerity and good comradeship and his fellowdelegates quickly took him to their hearts. Unfortunately, on the way back he contracted a severe illness in Bulgaria and was confined to a hospital in Sofia. When asked how he was treated there, he gets a faraway look in his eyes and speaks almost wistfully of his period of illness. "They were wonderful, absolutely wonderful to me," he murmurs. Back home again and well, he decided to get married and in true Xosa fashion he returned to the Transkei for this purpose, having gone through the formalities of courtship and lobola. He reckoned, however, without the Special Branch, who refused to credit that Greenwood Ngotyane would visit the Transkei for anything so unspiritual as marriage. They ordered a full enquiry and he was shadowed by large men in mufti. Not until he actually led his bride to the altar were the police satisfied that his visit was matrimoniallyand not politicallyinspired. Upon his arrival in Cape Town he was promptly banned from all gatherings for five years. However, a man like Greenwood can do political work without attending meetings, as was shown when he undertook the election campaigns of both Ray Alexander and Len Lee-Warden, both of whom were returned with thumping majorities. In spite of the vast amount of work he undertakes and carries out, he has never been known to refuse his help to any individual who happens to be in trouble over his pass or permit. Greenwood himself has suffered so much as a result of the Pass Laws that he has been known to spend days assisting a fellow "passless Native." At the moment there is an eviction order waiting for him in Cape Town; but it is one thing for unfeeling bureaucrats to issue ordersit is quite another to get rid of the Greenwood Ngotyanes of this world. So now he sits in the Drill Hall dry dock unemotionally listening to the evidence that he has committed treason against the country of his birth and origin. His prospects, on the face of it, would appear to be black indeed, yet Greenwood would smile if you suggested such a thing to him. "As long as I have blood in my veins and a head to think with," he says, "there is always hope." VIC EDDY.
THE BUS BOYCOTT: ROUND 2 By L BERNSTEIN

How many angels can dance on the point of a needle? The problem, it is said, can be debated learnedly and long by unworliday theologians. So too, no doubt, could the Alexandra bus boycott settlement. Can this be victory, it is asked, when the people pay the old fare of 4d., but the denomination "5d." appears printed on the ticket? Can this be victory, it is asked, when the duration of the settlement is dependent upon the Chamber of Commerce's 25,000 pound fund, with no guarantees for what happens thereafter? The debate can well be left to garrulous old men in wheel-chairs, for whom verbal exercise is all-important and the hard realities of life of no consequence. The reality is that the people have returned to the buses, and still pay fourpence. The reality is that the employers as a class have been forced to give back to the African workers some part of the profits they obtain from their labour. This the talmudic theorists cannot dispute. But still some argue. The settlement, it is said, is not perfect. The "5d." symbol on the ticket, it is said, is the beginning of a softening-up process, preparing the people to pay fivepence in future. The limited duration of the Chamber of Commerce fund, it is said, gives PUTCO and the Government time to assemble its forces for another offensive against the people tomorrow. Perhaps so. The settlement is not perfect; the reality of life seldom conforms precisely to the perfect blue-prints of what-might-have-been prepared by ivory-castled planners. The Next Round But this is not the issue. The real issue, vital to Alexandra, and perhaps to all South Africa, is not the debate on the perfection or imperfection of the settlement reached. This is a red herring. The real issue now is how best to use the breathing space provided by the settlement to prepare the people's forces for the second round of struggle which will come to full maturity when the Chamber of Commerce Fund runs out. It is in this light that the activities of those who denounce the settlement as less-than-perfect must be judged. Theologic hair-splitting removed from the scene of struggle and organisation is perhaps tolerable for those with patience. But brought into the arena of the people's politics it is intolerable and disruptive. This needs to be said, now and strongly. Those perfectionists who still, to this day, denounce the settlement and those who engineered it, may well act from sincere and honest motives. And yet they are wreacking all chance of turning the present, partial gains into a thorough-going and stable victory. Their sniping opposition, however well meant, has served only to rob the boycotters of the flavour of victory which they have won, and to lower their morale, hope and courage for the new stern battles which lie ahead to consolidate that victory. Morale, hope and courage might seem insubstantial matters to those whose political armoury consists only of agitational phrase-mongering and "purer-than-thou" denunciations. But they are now the heart and soul of the Alexandra and Witwatersrand problems. There can be none, even amongst those who engineered and accepted the present settlement, who can doubt that even more strenuous battles lie ahead. There is the battle to keep the fares to fourpence even after the pound 25,000 fund runs dry. But over and above that, there is the battle to win substantial all-round increases in wages for every worker and a minimum wage of 1 pound per day, without which the matter of bus fares will be an eternal running sore, symptomatic of the poverty of the urban people. Only fools can seek to enter into these battles by destroying the people's confidence in the gains with which they have just emerged, by raising their doubts as to whether it was worth while, and by raising their suspicions against those who led. Men who would be generals must understand that substantial gains have been won; and that the confidence in their own strength which the people draw from such gains is the stepping-stone to new and greater gains in the battles that lie ahead. Unity, determination, courage won the gains of yesterday; tomorrow's battle, if it is to be won, must start from the pinnacles of self-confidence and high morale which can grow from such victories, but only if initiative amongst the people can be taken from the disruptive critics, and returned to those who can understand that even partial, temporary victory becomes a weapon to advance new conquests. Giddy Slogans Every people's struggle, it is said, is rich in lessons for those who take part in them. In this respect the bus boycott is no exception. In may areas "perfectionism" damped the flavour of victory and in some the settlement was, at first, rejected "until a minimum wage of 1 pound a day is achieved." No doubt the leaders meant well. But they became giddy with their own success, imagining that a boycott could bring not just PUTCO but the whole national body of employers to its knees. Setting the sights this high and raising the people's hopes so unrealistically could only make the settlement seem a let-down. There is a moral in this. Not the moral that leaders should not fire the imagination of their people with the wonders of the life that can be theirs when they find the ways to reach for it; this, the vision of a world filled with "singing tomorrows" is the star to which every people's dreams and strivings must be hitched if they are to go forward as far as their strength will carry them. Not that. But rather the moral and political leaders can only lead successfully while their feet are planted firmly on the ground of reality; that a struggle cannot be dragged beyond the limits of the people's strength, understanding and willingness to fight, no matter how radical and militant the slogans advanced by the leaders; that leadership consists not only in knowing how to go forward but equally in knowing when and how to stop, or to retreat in good order and in unity. Adventurers' Gamble There are timesand the thirteenth week of the boycott was surely onewhen it is impossible to go forward any longer without a pause to regain lost breath or recover balance; times when one step back is an essential condition for taking two steps forward. These are the times when leaders show their qualities. Hotheads, adventurers and those concerned only with their own reputations for militancy will continue to cry "Forward! Forward!" even while conscious that their own forces are being steadily pressed back, even though they lose control of the very fortresses which they stormed and conquered before the tide began to turn. A leader is not just a demagogue, a rabblersouser, a fireater on a public platform. A leader needs to be a statesman, capable at every turn of the struggle of keeping close links with the people he seeks.
to lead, sensitive to their needs and their instincts and their capabilities; a leader needs deep inner conviction, not of his own "superiority" to the masses, but of the overriding importance of the cause for which they struggle, which will enable him, when he must, to face the taunts of the adventurers and firebrands, if need be to "lose face," in order to take a step backward before all is lost. When that testing time came in Alexandra, the real leaders revealed their true mettle, while the adventurers cried "Forward!" even when it was apparent that their bitter-end actions could only result in the whole struggle being frittered away and lost. It was in this testing hour that the central leadership of the African National Congress showed its quality and its statesmanship. The adventurers now claim that the A.N.C. "sold us out." The barren formalists, even in the ranks of the A.N.C. itself, claim that their leadership should not have intervened to win the people for the boycott settlement, because the boycott was the concern of the united-front People's Transport Committee and not of the A.N.C. It is a familiar spectacle, this seeking for scape-goats when the real progress of the people's movement falls short of the "what-might-have-been" dreams of visionaries. Never has it been less justified than now. No serious organisation can ever be bound, by the formality of a united-front committee's existence, to sit idly by and watch that committee fritter away the substance of people's victory, and fail to give leadership when leadership is needed. The united front is a means to unite the people for the advancement of their cause. And when some of the parties to it, because of wrong ideologies, because of adventurism or because of personal ambitions, misuse it to obstruct the people's advance, then whose whose first loyalty is to the people's cause must serve the people where they can and as best they can, outside of it. Congress Statesmanship The first loyalty of the A.N.C. leadership was to their people, not to the Alexandra boycott committee. Only those on the inner leadership of the boycott will ever know the real, painstaking statesmanship which guided the A.N.C. leadership during this period; the selfless efforts which were made to keep the united front together and united, to keep its links with the walking people close and indivisible, to broaden it out to represent every shade of opinion amongst the 70,000 people of the township. If there is credit attaching to the boycott committee for its determined and skilful handling of the boycott in all its earlier period, then much of that credit attaches to the A.N.C. which guided and influenced its direction. And if, in the end, it appeared that the gains of the boycott would be lost by adventurist calls for greater sacrifices than the people were then ready to make, it is to the credit of the A.N.C. leadership that it reacted as people's leaders should; that it pocketed its pride in order to recommend careful consideration and acceptance of the settlement. Leadership is tested, not on paper, but in action. And the leadership of the A.N.C., which intervened directly in the boycott at the eleventh hour, has been vindicated by the people, who considered the settlement offer, used their own good sense to weigh up the possibilities of further resistance, and then accepted it. And in doing so, they rejected the adventurers who still cried "Forward! Forward!" That the acceptance of the settlement was disorderly and ragged first Alexandra, later Moroka, and with Pretoria left outside the area of the settlements the result not of the A.N.C. intervention, but of the fatal divisions amongst the boycott leaders themselves, who failed to rise to the historic moment and seize the settlement and victory when both were there to be taken. There are lessons to be learnt. There will always be lessons to be learnt. Such lessons serve to illuminate the path that lies ahead. We are face to face with the second round the round of decisive, countrywide campaigning for an all round increase in wages, and for a national wage of 1 per day. The leadership is there and able; the energetic shockworkers of the people's movement are there, with more experience and understanding than of yesterday. The people are there, inspired by the simple Alexandra lesson of the power that is theirs, if they unite to organise and direct it. The lessons have been recorded as armour for the "future. The Press and The Boycott I think there will be general agreement that, within the inevitably restricted framework of its lack of really representative contacts among the African population, the Rand's English-language press did better than was to be expected over the bus boycott. For the first ten days or so both newspapers evidently tried their best to do an honest job of reporting. One paper continued to attempt this, at least as far as the "contemptuous" refusal of the Chamber of Commerce's "ingenious" refund offer on March 1. After that it rather "lost sympathy." But the other paper lost its balance after the first ten days. Its reports thereafter were frequently travesties of the facts. When reporters of the paper, known to have been present at the events caricatured in the press, were taxed, they were sometimes able to produce their reasonably accurate By C. W. M. CELL "copy," which had been discarded in favour of a version sucked out of some editor's or sub-editor's thumb. Now I am aware that writing anything like this at a time when all manner of threats against the freedom of the press are blowing about, opens me to a charge of "consorting with or comforting the enemy." I, therefore, want to make it quite clear that whatever the defects of our present daily English-language press and they are considerable is infinitely preferable to the even more glaring faults of the Afrikaans press or to the sort of press we should have were the Nationalists able to establish the "control" they want. Playing Safe The defects of the English-language press stem mainly from lack of real competition. Our "conurbations" and their hinterland are so far apart and the potential reading public so small that each centre can support at most one morning and one evening paper, sometimes not even that. Since no press in the world is really free in any absolute sense, free, that is, from the predilections, prejudices or preferences of those who control or compose the papers - the best safeguard against suppression or distortion of news comes from the possibility of a rival presenting an obviously more authentic account. It is a very inadequate safeguard. But it carries with it the threat of readers changing over to the alternative that best satisfies their thirst for information, amusement (one is bound to admit it), the bolstering of their prejudices. Unfortunately, not only is there virtually no alternative English organ in most centres the morning.
and evening papers observer convention of condensing or omitting rviews extensively reported in the other, even when they are not owned by the same group but the “competition” provided by the Afrikaans press exerts a consistent influence towards “playing down” controversial colour issues in the battle for the Afrikaans reader. The Non-White reader potential is probably already more important than most papers realise but, not directly affecting national advertising revenue, it does not yet carry the weight it may well deserve. Slanting the News We have, therefore, the situation of a press owned chiefly by large financial or business interests, having a virtual monopoly in each local centre. The views of those interests naturally influence editorial policy. They sometimes also extend their baneful influence into the sphere of news coverage. And this, for reasons not difficult to discern, is what distorted the reporting (as distinct from the leader-writing which is always tuned to a certain “wavelength,” as readers of each paper recognise) of the one Rand English paper after ten days of boycott, and the other after March 1 or thereabouts. This could be illustrated in much greater detail and with more authority by those having firsthand experience of what was going on. I shall confine myself to the very limited experience within my own knowledge or that of a friend in whose judgement and experience I have implicit faith. The Rules of the Game When the boycott spread to Port Elizabeth it was greeted in one Rand newspaper by a hostile editorial. The writer was evidently dismayed that the P.E. boycott was (Continued on Page 15)

STATE INFORMATION AND THE RED TERMITES A CONVERSATION PIECE BY LIONEL FORMAN THE STATE INFORMATION OFFICE would, presumably, not issue its Digest of South African Affairs a glossy, expensively produced magazine - if it did not hope that at least a few people overseas would be influenced by it. But what effect does the Digest have on its readers? As a public service, and for the information of the responsible Minister, I have just completed a lightning worldwide survey. After untold effort I tracked down two readers, Mr. Mutt and Mr. Jeff, in a New York bus and, after shameless eavesdropping, I am able to present this exclusive report of their conversation : Mutt: See in the Times there’s trouble in South Africa again. This Strijdom feller’s meddling with the Churches. Jeff: Yeah. Bad lot, that Strijdom crowd. Need a poke in the nose. But one thing I will say for them they know how to deal with their Reds. Banned them years ago. Mutt: Funny thing, though. Got a copy of this Digest thing. Seems the Reds are doing pretty well. Jeff : Digest? But that’s not a Commie rag. It’s a Government thing, isn’t it? Mutt: Sure is. Says the Commies in South Africa have “a masterly and brilliant organisation.” Jeff: Yeah? Mutt: Yeah. Remember this bus boycott they had? Jeff: Quite a bit about it in the Times. Negroes said they wouldn’t pay higher fares. Government said they damn well would. What came of it? Mutt: They’re riding the buses again. Jeff : At the higher fare? Mutt: Nope. Old fare. Jeff: Makes the Government look a bit silly. Mutt: Well, that’s what this Digest is about. Seems this whole affair was run by the Communists. Says here: “Underground Red workers master-minded the progress of the boycott through all stages.” Jeff: What do you know! The Times said a Negro outfit called the African National Congress, or something, was behind it. They reckoned this A.N.C. are a pretty representative crowd. Only body that can make any claim to speak for the Blacks, the Times says. Mutt: Yeah? Well then, these Commies must be pretty unbeatable. It says here the A.N.C. is a Communist Party front organisation, made up of a “mixture of trained Communists, fellow travellers, and a leaven of unsuspecting left-wing workers.” Jeff: Gee! Strijdom better act quick to stop the Reds getting hold of the Indians and the mixed breeds and their trade unions. Mutt: Too late. Says here they’re all Reds. Worst of all, though, it says here that this A.N.C. has a “strong-arm organisation parallel in almost every respect to Hitler’s storm-troopers who smashed all opposition with the fist, the whip and the jackboot.” Jeff: Yeah. Read about that in the Times. Those were the boys who raided homes every night and rounded up thousands of people. Mutt: Don’t be silly. That was the police. Jeff: Oh. Then they must have been the thugs who pulled people out of cars every day on their way from work? Mutt: Don’t be silly. That was the police. Jeff: Let down the tyres of bicycles? Mutt: Don’t be silly. Jeff : That was the police? Mutt: Yeah. Come to think of it, the whole thing must be a printer’s mixup. This Strijdom crowd wouldn’t run down Hitler’s storm troopers. They were all for Hitler in the war. Jeff: You reckon what they really mean is that they’re modelling the police on Hitler’s stormtroopers? Mutt: Probably. Can’t mean much else. Jeff: Anyway, if these Reds are so strong, it’s good the Government has started putting out some anti-Communist propaganda. What’s this Digest thing like? Convincing? Mutt: Stinks. Jeff : Anyway, I suppose the Red propaganda isn’t any better. Mutt: Well, it says here the material they used in this boycott was “superbly written, distinguished by their clever and moderate language. Jeff: Say, what is this State Information trying to prove? Mutt: Looks as if the Reds are just about ready to take over. Jeff: But hold on there. Does anyone believe that these Congresses are just Communist fronts? Don’t they just stand for full equality? Mutt: Well, this State Information department says that anyone who demands full equality is a Communist. Jeff: Oh. Mutt: Puts a different light on it, doesn’t it? Jeff: Yeah. That means you and me would also be Reds. Mutt: Yeah. Jeff: Looks as if the Reds are ready to take over. Mutt: Yeah. Jeff: Jolly good thing, if you ask me. Mutt: Yeah.
UNIVERSITIES UNDER FIRE

By DUMA NOKWE

THE purpose of the Bill is to "provide for the establishment, maintenance, management and control of the university colleges for Non-White persons; for the admission of students to and their instruction at the university colleges; for the limitation of the admission of Non-White students to certain university institutions; and other matters incidental thereto." One of the most striking features of this Bill is the absolute, unfettered powers conferred upon the Minister. Almost every section of the Bill repeats with monotonous regularity the phrases "the Minister may..." "the Minister shall..." "as shall be prescribed."

That Minister The Bill empowers the Minister to establish University Colleges for Non-White persons and authorises him to disestablish such a University College merely by publishing a notice to that effect in the Gazette. There is no limitation upon the Minister's power to disestablish a "University College." No enquiry is necessary beforehand, nor is it necessary for him to warn anybody that he is going to "disestablish" a College. The existence or non-existence of a college depends entirely on the desire of the Minister. The council of a college is appointed by the Governor-General which in effect means the Minister. The Principal and members of the Senate are appointed by the Minister who is authorised to prescribe by regulation the powers, the duties, the functions and the allowances of the members of the Council and the Senate. The Minister determines the faculties in a college, appoints the members of the faculties' boards and prescribes their functions. Not even the students escape the ubiquitous discretion of the Minister. White students are prohibited from registering and attending Non-White colleges. It is the Minister who may refuse any student admission to a college, and who determines the number of students who can register for any course, the place where a student may reside or receive instruction and the disciplinary conditions under which students enter these colleges.

Stranglehold The ministerial stranglehold over the staff of a "university" is dealt with in a special chapter of the Bill. All the posts in a College from the Dean to the sweeper are determined by the Minister, and he or his secretary has the power to "appoint, promote, transfer or discharge" persons. The Bill provides the grounds on which a person who has been permanently (?) appointed at a university college may be discharged by the Minister and these include inter alia:

- "Reorganisation or readjustment of the staff..."
- "in the opinion of the Minister his discharge will facilitate improvements in the University College at which he is employed by which greater economy or efficiency will be effected."

Again, no enquiry is necessary and the effect of these provisions is to make a member of the staff of a college hold his post through the grace of the Minister. In view of these clauses the qualification "appointed permanently" is completely meaningless. The Bill deals at length with what is described as misconduct. There are 17 different forms of misconduct defined and the Minister is authorised to make such additions as he deems fit. A member of the staff will be guilty of misconduct if he, inter alia:

- "Publicly comments adversely upon the administration of any department of the Government or of any Province or of the territory of South West Africa."
- "Propagates any idea or takes part in or identifies himself with any propaganda or activity."
- "Acts in a manner calculated to cause or promote antagonism amongst any section of the population of the Union against any section of the population of the Union; or to impede, obstruct, or undermine the activities of any Government department."

The forms of misconduct are incidentally almost identical with those prescribed for teachers in Dr. Verwoerd's Bantu Education schools. The Nationalists are so tired of criticism that they are not going to tolerate a professor who complains about the inefficiency of the train service. With these forms of misconduct we can say farewell to the ideas of those pro-
fessors and lecturers who do not subscribe to Nationalist ideas; farewell to critical research which reveals facts not approved by the Minister; farewell to free thought, expression and association by the intellectuals of our community. The penalty for those professors and lecturers who contravene the code of conduct is dismissal, demotion, reduction of salary or a fine of pound 100 ! pound 100 fine for any professor of economics who dares to criticise the budget! Apartheid Separation is effected by three clauses which are also the three criminal offences created by the Bill. Firstly, no White student may register at or attend any of the Minister's colleges. Secondly, as from the 1st January, 1956, no Non-White student may register at a White university unless he was previously registered as a student at such a university or has obtained the written permission of the Minister. Finally, as from a date to be published by the Governor-General in the Gazette, no Non-White student shall register at any White university. There is a benevolent provision that this would not affect those who had already commenced their courses at such a university. The maximum penalty for contravening any of the above is a fine of pound 100 with an alternative of six months' imprisonment. The Minister who will wield the power of life and death over the Universities is not specified in the Bill; the Governor-General is empowered to define “the Minister” in respect of the different provisions of the Act. There is, however, no doubt that the bulk of these powers will be exercised by Dr. Verwoerd. The original Bill contained clauses transferring the control of Fort Hare University College and the Durban Non-European Medical College to the Native Affairs Department. These provisions had to be withdrawn because certain formalities had not been complied with. However, the Separate Universities Amendment Bill, 1958, will certainly contain them. Scrub Land This Bill has aroused widespread indignation and has been condemned by all people except the most fanatical Nationalists. It is a sinister attempt to extend “Dr. Verwoerd's "principles of education" to University education. These "principles" are already being applied in the primary schools. " My Department's policy is that education should stand with both feet in the reserves and have roots in the spirit and being of Bantu society." " The school must equip him (the Bantu) to meet the economic demands which the life of South Africa will impose on him." " There is no place for him (the Bantu) in the European community above the level of certain forms of labour." Dr. Verwoerd in The Senate, June, 1954. The Nationalists have always been anxious to remove Africans and Non-Europeans from the Universities because, as Dr. Verwoerd says, " It is of no avail for him (the Bantu) to receive a training which has as its aim absorption in the European community. . . . Until now he has been subject to a school system which drew him away from his own community and misled him by showing him the green pastures of the European in which he was not allowed to graze." The Nationalists are going to see to it that none of their " Bantu " long for the green pastures of the European. They want to produce "intellectuals" who will be content with the scrub grazing land of the Bantu. This, of course, means in Bantu education the complete destruction of what the sane and civilised world accepts as education. The syllabus will be planned by the N.A.D. to teach the students that there is "no place for the Bantu in the European community above the level of certain forms of labour." How the lecturer in economics, anthropology, history, sociology and other sciences will teach his subjects without running the risk of having a police file opened for him remains to be seen. It is surprising that the Minister has not been specifically authorised to draw up lectures which must be endorsed and approved by the Nationalist Party congress or executive. The destruction of academic standards in the tribal colleges is only the beginning of the assault by the Nationalists on all the Universities in the country. It is the supreme ambition of the Nationalists that they will so train the youth of South Africa that they will grow up in a world hedged in by Nationalist ideals, to serve the purposes of the Broederbond, and never question the direction charted by the Nationalists for the future of our country. FIGHTING TALK MAY, 1957 PAGE NINE
Ghana and the Gold Coast Revolution By ALAN DOYLE day after my release from prison I was invited by the Governor to meet him at nine o'clock that morning. . . . I left the Castle with instructions -from the Governor to form a Government. As I walked down the steps it was as if the whole thing had been a dream, that I was stepping down from the clouds and that I would soon wake up and find myself squatting on the prison -floor eating a bowl of maize porridge. " GhanaThe Autobiography of Kwame Nkrumah. " KWAME NKRUMAH dictated this autobiography to his secretary in the "few minutes, whenever possible" that she persuaded him to set aside the multifarious affairs that beset him as Prime Minister and leader of his Party during the crucial period of Ghana's transition to political independence. In the circumstances it is hardly reasonable to expect him to have produced a profound analysis of the momentous events in Ghana. He was too busy making history to write it; but he has written a racy and extremely readable story of his own life and the highlights of the Ghana revolution. From it he emerges as a remarkable and engaging personality. He may seem too egocentric for our liking, but, after all, self-portraits are necessarily self-centred. Nkrumah's writing is refreshing, frank; there is none of the pompous stuffiness which makes the autobiographical writings of European statesmen works of concealment rather than revelation. He does not pretend to be modest. He has won success, and he glories in it; but beneath the glorification and the festival tone of the book, of which the quotation at the head of this article is a fair sample, there is a note of sober realism too. For Dr. Nkrumah is not unaware of the greater problems now facing his country. The first aim of his Party, the Convention People's Party, he writes in his Preface, was to gain political freedom. But: " Once this freedom is gained, a greater task comes into view. All dependent territories are backward in education, in agriculture, and in industry. The economic independence that should follow and maintain political independence demands every effort from the people, a total mobilisation of brain and manpower resources." What is sadly missing in this book is a description, at least in outline, of the practical steps which the Nkrumah Government now proposes to take to attain that economic independence which it recognises to be essential to the maintenance of Ghana. True, there is a project to dam the Volta river and thus to generate electric power and construct an aluminium smelter. But this scheme in itself is insufficient to solve the many and pressing problems of the Gold Coast. The Grip of Imperialism Chief among these problems is that imperialism, with all its concomitant ills of exploitation, backwardness and wretched poverty still has a grip on the masses of the people. British and other foreign interests dominate the banks, the mines, factories and external trade of the country. Huge profits are drawn from the Gold Coast. It has a typical colonial monoculturein this case of cocoaand Lever Brothers' subsidiary, the United Africa Company, controls a third of the export trade. Exports exceed imports to the tune of 44 million a year (the total State revenue of Ghana is only 36 million) and although Britain has had to concede far-reaching powers of self-government, she retains a stranglehold over the rich resources of the country. The results are plain to be seen. Wages range from 3s. a day for unskilled workers to 10s. for skilled men; cocoa farmers get only a third of the market price for their product; only 6 per cent, of workers are employed in manufacture. Kwame Nkrumah repeats in his new book his faith in the anti-imperialist teachings of scientific socialism. " Today I am a non-denominational Christian and a Marxist socialist and I have not found any contradiction between the two," he reiterates on page 12 of his biography, and it is plain that, unlike many radical politicians who have achieved personal success, he has not repudiated the ideas which won him the support of the masses. Socialism for Ghana ? In his preface to the book, also, Nkrumah declares that "Capitalism is too complicated a system for a newly-independent nation. Hence the need for socialism." But, though he proclaims himself to be a Socialist, the Convention People's Party, which he founded and now heads, does not. Does the advance to socialism not require a workers' party based on scientific principles? Will Nkrumah, like Nehru, find himself the prisoner of his bourgeois nationalist organisation the moment he seeks to disturb local vested interests? Moreover, as a socialist, Kwame Nkrumah fails sufficiently to stress the economic roots of imperialism in Ghana, which remain even though Britain has been compelled to relinquish office to the elected representatives of the people. Although he speaks of "the economic independence that should follow and maintain political independence," he apparently does not envisage that independence to be realised for a very considerable time. Speaking in the Legislative Assembly in March, 1954, he declared that the country lacked capital and personnel to develop industry, and " that the Government would encourage as much as possible the entry and investment in industry of foreign capital." " I realised that it would be many years before the Gold Coast would be in a position to find from its own resources people who could combine capital with the experience required in the development and management of new industries and that the country would therefore have to rely to a large extent on foreign enterprise." This seems to me to be an absolutely crucial point in the development of Ghana towards full independence, which is obviously a matter which does not concern Ghana alone, but is of tremendous significance for the whole Continent. No one can deny the inspiration to the whole of Africa of the victory of the people of Ghana under the leadership of Kwame Nkrumah. The outward symbols of political power, the fruits of office, even the moving of the Prime Minister into the traditional British Governor's residence at Christianborg Castle, all these are of tremendous importance and value, which only an abstract armchair socialist would deny. Yet, so long as the keys to Ghana's economy remain in alien hands, so long will true independence be absent, and its fruits in the form of higher living standards, health and social services and all the other amenities of modern life will be withheld from the people. Other criticisms, too, could be levelled at this book and its distinguished author. As I said above, it is an autobiography and not a political thesis, and one expects PAGE TEN FIGHTING TALK MAY, 1957
an autobiography to be primarily about its subject, the author. Yet, as presented by its Prime Minister, the winning and running of free Ghana seems to be far too much a one-man show. The crucial period of the Ghana revolution was when Nkrumah was in jail, and with the country in the grip of a general strike the Governor was compelled to release him and ask him to take over and form a Government. The general strike had been called by the Trade Union Congress, independently of the Convention People's Party. The whole country was in a turmoil. Now the impression given in this autobiography is that the whole affair was conducted and directed by the author through notes which he smuggled out of prison. No doubt there were such notes, and they played an important part in advising Nkrumah's comrades who were still outside leading the struggle. Yet the trade union leaders and others who were actually in the field directing operations in this critical period ungenerously receive scant mention; the Prime Minister's colleagues remain shadowy figures, names that appear in lists of the various cabinets that were formed from time to time. One finds hardly any mention in this book of collective leadership by the Executive of the Convention People's Party or the Cabinets themselves. It is all "I considered," "I decided," "I appointed." Not enough "We." And not enough of the people. The lessons of recent history are far too much in our minds for us to overlook the terrible dangers of building a cult around an individual. Yet, when all these criticisms have been made, full tribute and acknowledgement must be paid to the extraordinary achievement of Kwame Nkrumah. He transformed the politics of his country by breaking away from the conception of a national movement as a debating society for middle-class intellectuals, and building a new-type mass party of the common people. In this task he showed himself to be a magnetic personality, a brilliant orator and a first-class organiser. Always the People The advance of Ghana towards independence has important lessons for the peoples of Africa, the last Continent to remain largely dominated by colonialism. In the conditions of the second half of the twentieth century, with imperialism fatally weakened on a world scale, the advance of the rest of the Continent to national liberation cannot long be delayed. As has been shown in China, India, Burma, Indonesia and other Asian lands, by the Arab States of the Middle East, and now by Ghana, the colonial powers are no longer able to hold subject peoples under their domination once the masses of the people are organised for political action. The conditions of emancipation have varied greatly from one country to another, according to the special circumstances prevailing in each area. And in Africa, as in Asia, it would be wrong to expect a common pattern to mark the conditions of emancipation in each country. The details of the road followed by the people of Ghana cannot be expected to be found or to apply to other African territories in different conditions. Yet one thing is common to all the vast areas and populations which have won through in the struggle against colonialism over the past decade. They were not handed freedom on a plate, nor did they obtain it through skilful diplomacy of their leaders. It was the masses of the people, organised in mass action, who enabled men like Nehru and Nkrumah to sit down as equals with those who but the day before had held them in imperialist prisons, and negotiate the terms of self-government. As in the past, so in the future, that is the rule for emancipation: Through the people, and only through the people, shall we win salvation. On their organisation, political understanding, determination, vigilance, sacrifice, depends the winning and maintenance of freedom.TREASON TRIAL PROFILE: DR. G. M. NAICKER BLUFF, warm-hearted, genialDr. Naicker takes his place in the Drill Hall among the South Africans suspected of high treason. Born in Durban in 1910, Dr. Naicker was educated in Edinburgh and Dublin. His work as a doctor brought him face to face with the horrors of a society that does not care: starvation, tuberculosis, slums . . . the suffering of children. And because he cares, because he loves and values life, Dr. Naicker did not don the blinkers but entered the fight for a just society in which life will be fuller, will be more joyous and the tragic waste of life be banished forever: the struggle for freedom. A veteran leader, a courageous fighter he opposed the Pegging Act passed by the Smuts government during the war years. He opposed and exposed the compromise leadership which accepted residential segregation. The expulsion of the "nationalist bloc" from the Natal Ind'an Congress led to the formation of the Anti-Segregation Council of which he was president. It aimed at non-European unity and can be regarded as a forerunner to the co-operation of the People's Congresses. The Anti-Segregation Council was on a mass basis and embraced a militant programme. Some of the members are in the dock with him today: Debi Singh, M. P. Naicker, Mannie Pillay. At the general annual meeting in 1945, Dr. Naicker was unanimously elected president of the Natal Indian Congress, a position he has held ever since. Like freedom fighters the world over, Dr. Naicker, too, is a "prison graduate." In 1946 he led a batch of volunteers defying the Asiatic Land Tenure and Indian Representation Act. For this he served a prison term of six months and one week. Together with Dr. Dadoo he attended the First Asian Conference, visited India travelling the riot areas with Gandhi. Came the historic Defiance Campaign. Dr. Naicker led the first batch to launch the campaign in Natal. He was sentenced to one month's imprisonment. But others are imprisoned outside prison: cut off from their people, gagged, restricted in their movements. In 1952 he was banned from gatherings for two years. In 1954 he was sentenced to one month's imprisonment. But others are imprisoned outside prison: cut off from their people, gagged, restricted in their movements. In 1952 he was banned from gatherings for two years. In 1954 he was restricted to the magisterial district of Durban. In 1954 he was again banned from gatherings for two years. This ban expired two weeks before the nationwide treason swoop. He is president of the S.A.I.C. and a leading Gandhiite. Today in the dock he can say: "I have abundant faith in the future of South Africa. History has taught us that the freedom-struggle cannot fail. . . . We are bound to achieve what is innermost in our hearts: for the struggle is for democracy, and human dignity. . . ." In a sense it is the new South Africa that is on trial; the South Africa that is the dawn __" A. HUTCHINSON.
discovered that on the day prior to their (Continued at Foot of Next Page) PAGE TWELVE FIGHTING TALK. MAY, about the period of 'notice' that the children would have to serve. After the children had served their notice, it was time, why, he had taken them on. When the parent protested that the children should be at school, the police spoke and suggested that the children might have been drowned as they were crossing a stream. Maybe the father should have watched more closely. The police informed him that the children had gone to the farm looking for work, and as the farmer was rather short of hands at that time, they had taken the children on. The next meal would be in the early evening and that would consist of porridge, a piece of pork, and possibly a cup of black tea. Pigs and goats are the only animals that the vast majority of the peasants keep, apart from fowls. It appears that these are the only animals that can withstand the barrenness that is everywhere. As for beef, that is a rare luxury indeed, and is eaten only when a beast has died. It is a hard life among these people. But somehow everyone seemed eager that a visitor should have the best they could offer. "Things are hard in Johannesburg," I replied vaguely. "The poverty and ill-treatment of the people is fast reaching a stage where it cannot be borne, and the people are trying hard to protect themselves. . . ." "Yes, I lived in Alexandra for three years and I know something about it. But I think in Taung it is even worse. . . ." You see, when the Government first introduced the Irrigation Scheme we were told that we were on the threshold of prosperity and we were encouraged to join in the scheme. Every family was called upon to contribute 2 for the construction of the furrows leading to the lands. This was three years ago. The furrows have been built and completed, but still we continue paying. . . ." Several months ago we were told to pay a levy so that our wagons could move on good roads, but our wagons are not allowed on these roads that we built ourselves. It is said that the wheels will spoil the roads. The Irrigation Scheme, I discovered, was nothing short of a monstrous bluff practised on the people. A man who joins the Scheme must work fulltime on the lands and is not allowed to take up any other form of employment or to find some other way of augmenting his income. The speculator is never around at the time that he is paid. He receives his produce, a piece of lucerne, a cup of milk, and a bag of wheat. The majority of the people are illiterate and as he watches his crop of wheat being grabbed in payment for the accounts, to break down in tears when the official rudely leaves him half a bag of wheat for seed, and tells him to work harder. "Surely," I cried in desperation, "the law does intervene at times?" "The law here operates in strange ways. A neighbour of mine lost his two children a few months ago. It appears that the children were on their way to school one morning when they were accosted by two European men who were driving in a van. They asked to be directed to a certain farmstead and pretended to be confused when the children tried to direct him. So they asked the children to climb into the van for some distance. The children eagerly obliged, for had not the Native Commissioner made an appeal that the people should be friendly and helpful towards their White neighbours? "No sooner had the children jumped into the van than the Europeans drove off at top speed, till they reached a farmstead and brusquely told the terrified children to knock at the back door. They were received as if they were looking for employment." "But this is plain kidnapping!" "Well, when the father went to the police to report that his children were lost, the police listened to him and suggested that the children might have been drowned as they were crossing a stream. Maybe the father should make a search along the banks and come back to inform the police. . . ." "He did eventually trace the children to the farm and he made his report to the police and the latter promised to make enquiries. A few days afterwards, he was informed that his children had gone to the farm looking for work, and as the farmer was rather short of hands at that time, why, he had taken them on. When the parent protested that the children should be at school, the police spoke about the period of 'notice' that the children would have to serve. After the children had served their notice, it was discovered that on the day prior to their (Continued at Foot of Next Page) PAGE TWELVE FIGHTING TALK. MAY, 1957
NURSING APARTHEID

By a Correspondent

THE Government is this session introducing a Bill for apartheid in the nursing profession. Evidence on the subject, given before a parliamentary Select Committee, has been published. Dr. Eiselen, speaking as Dr. Verwoerd’s mouthpiece, wanted Bantu nurses for Bantu patients, a training which “would fit them for their particular task,” lower wages and a separate Non-White nursing organisation. That insidious “cultural” body, the F.A.K., advocated separate registration of White and Black nurses, different uniforms and insignia, and it has sponsored the Afrikaans Nurses’ League as a pressure group within the S.A. Nursing Association “to concentrate on the things that really matter” i.e. “standing together against integration” and encouraging wider use of Afrikaans.

Squeezing Non-Whites Out

The 1944 Nursing Act created a Nursing Council, to which all members of the profession could be elected, and a Nursing Association to which all qualified nurses must belong. According to Mrs. Searle, Transvaal Director of Nursing Services, Non-White nurses were admitted on an equal basis because there were then too few to constitute “a problem” and large scale training of others was not foreseen. “If we had known that this would be Provincial policy, I, for one, would certainly not have agreed to the 1944 Act. We would have fought it to the last ditch.” It was largely at the instigation of Mrs. Searle that Dr. Stals, the Nationalist Minister of Health, in 1948, drafted administrative regulations to keep Non-White Nurses off the Nursing Council and the Board of the Nursing Association. One may well ask why? Facts and figures are virtually unobtainable except in the carefully selected form published by the Nursing Council. It says that in 1954 there were 2,100 Non-White nurses out of a total of 13,309. It will not publish comparative figures of passes and failures by race groups on the formal ground that “our single register does not state the nurse’s race.” But a member of the Nursing Council, Adv. Van Reenen, told the Select Committee that “in 1953, 27 per cent, of the European and 51 per cent, of the Non-European entries were reentries” (i.e. previously failed), that “for the last three years” European percentage passes had been 72 per cent, whereas Non-Europeans began with 82 per cent, in 1952, fell to 46 per cent, in 1945 and to 35.5 per cent, in 1953. In order to meet this “situation” Adv. Van Reenen requires powers to “effect separation in all aspects of the nursing services, to differentiate in the training between the different racess, keep separate registers, to differentiate in respect of the training according to existing needs, in other words, for skilful and less skilful nurses, with regard to both race and duties.” Adv. Van Reenen, Mr. Van Schalkwyk, Miss C. A. Nothard (all of the Nursing Council) recommended “a basic and practical syllabus which will be of help to the Non-European as such” (echoes of Bantu Education). Since there’s “outlook on life” was different, their training must be different “if in the end we want them to have the same sense of responsibility.” But “once you train them differently you must register them differently,” which precludes their exercising the same responsibilities and thus learning (in the only practicable way) “a sense of responsibility.” There appears possibly to be some ground for the contention that on average it may take an African nurse longer to qualify than a European. This is not (as the F.A.K. said) due to “centuries of tradition, culture and civilisation which determine the European nurse’s superior status.” It is due primarily to unequal opportunities in general education (now aggravated by its Bantuisation) and in facilities for nursing training. Mr. Van Reenen, Miss Nothard and Mrs. Searle all regard any joint training as wrong and “put a stop to it” whenever they can; the training staff for Non-White nurses is often inadequate and less experienced than for Whites; there are no facilities in the Union to train Non-Whites for fever, mental or orthopaedic nursing, theatre technique or mothercraft (“we allow Non-Europeans to practise midwifery within the hospital grounds provided they do it in the same way as it is carried out in their kraals” Adv. Van Reenen.) Keeping Control That these considerations of the Non-White nurses’ “own good” were not the only or even paramount reason behind the move for nursing apartheid clearly emerges from other parts of the evidence. Mrs. Searle said that one of her greatest difficulties in recruiting White nurses was the fear that they might at some time come under the “control in any sense” of a Non-White nurse or doctor. “It is not for me to indulge in ideologies, I have to be practical.” Miss M. G. Borchards, Chairman of the Nursing Association, and Miss Nothard were very averse to allowing Non-White nurses to have a separate organisation, as suggested by Dr. Eiselen. “We want the Non-Europeans to have the guidance of the European nurse, who will advise and keep them on the right road. We have to retain control over the Non-Europeans, to keep them in order , and, by doing so, allow them to develop.” Therefore they wanted to keep the Non-White nurses in subordinate “groups” within the Association, “represented” on the Board by one White member (out of 16) and able to submit proposals only if approved by the White branches. Miss Borchards and Miss Nothard agreed with the Transvaal Provincial Administration that a separate body might develop “as a Non-White trade union” and become “political” or “Communistic.” It would have the additional disadvantage of disqualifying the Association from its international affiliations, though whether the proposed hardly disguised colour bar gets round that difficulty is very doubtful. A similar dichotomy of purpose was very obvious in Mrs. Searle’s lengthy evidence. After a long dissertation on “my idea of nursing” which envisaged every nurse having “a deep understanding of the psychological, sociological, physical and mental factors in illness” a very worthy and seldom perfectly attained ideal she described “the Non-White nurse as a good technician at the most, unable to differentiate in the training between the psychological, sociological and vocational care of a patient” (except, of course, “to her own people”). We have, therefore, to choose between “a professional service to the people of South Africa or just a technical service on the lowest possible level as it exists today in all countries where nursing services are run by Non-Whites.” UnequalYet Competition One might have supposed from this that the superior virtues of all White nurses over all Non-White nurses would obviate any question of competition for their services. Not a bit of it! Mrs. Searle hardly paused to draw breath before expounding the necessity of a colour bar, not merely to prevent Non-Whites obtaining supervisory posts but also to prevent “completely free competition which could wreck the nursing services.” Mrs. Searle described horror (“it only lasted for half an hour after I arrived on the scene”) how she had thrice found Non-White staff nurses supervising
non-White wards in which White student nurses also worked. "It was during a time of great shortage and they did it to keep the Non-European wards open." In future are they to shut in similar circumstances? And then she warned that the private employment of Non-European nurses "must be stopped" or else these "mere technicians" will undercut and debar the White nurse from private duty nursing altogether! Finally, it was interesting to find Mrs. Searle and the Transvaal Administration at one about the danger of "swamping" in an "integrated" service. The Hospital Boards of such enlightened places as Wolmaransstad, Lydenburg, Krugersdorp, Klerksdorp (see their Group Area records) had embarked on a correspondence about swamping, enjoying refreshments together, attending meetings and social functions together and the "obviating of all irregularities such as, inter alia, equality." So the Transvaal Executive Committee endorsed "the traditional South African way of life, viz. that the European must hold his own separately." But the imposition of a legislative nursing colour bar and a ban on the private employment of Non-White nurses by Whites is one of the most explicit admissions yet made that "the European can hold his own" only by erecting artificial barriers to keep the "inferior" Non-White out.
THE SUEZ DEBACLE  THE merit of Paul Johnson's "The Suez War" lies not in any new light it sheds on the British action against Nasser, but on the clarity with which all the public and widely known facts are assembled together to pro-duce a devastating indictment of the British Government. Here are recorded the acts of blundering imperial reaction which led Britain to its greatest military fiasco, and accompanying econo-mic and political debacle. It is written, as so many press reporters' books are, in order to drive home a single, simple point. "Our leaders are guilty men. So long as they go unpunished, all of us are accessories after the fact." John-son, assistant editor of the New States-man and Nation, hits hard at Eden and the Tories. "The ablest of his generation," he writes of Eden, "had been killed in Flanders; he soon won preference in the Tory Party." And, as is to be expected from an experi-eng ed reporter, he makes the story spring dramatically alive and gripping. But, as so often happens with books by reporters rather than historians, the story is told on a rather shallow, super-ficial level. There is, for example, no explanation offered for the United States' policy towards Nasser and Suez, other than the personality and petty finanglings of John Foster Dulles. Dulles' dyspepsia, it would appear, is the reason for the sudden withdrawal of the U.S. offer to finance the building of the Aswan Dam, which set in motion the whole trail of events lead-ing to the Suez War. In the same strain is the childish story that "... reading a copy of the British weekly 'Illus-trated' (Hussein) saw an article entitled ' Glubb Pasha -- Uncrowned King of Jordan. ...' In a moment of childish anger after reading the article, Hussein ordered his dismissal." This piffling nonsense may serve the Sunday news-papers, but it is not to be expected in a supposedly serious study by a serious writer. Nor can one condone the failure to mention, even in passing, the feelings and outlooks of the Egyptian people and government about events which, after all, concerned them more than anyone. This glaring omis-sion serves to underline the insidious anti-Egyptian, anti-Nasser, flagwagging Britishism of Johnson's views, coupled with anti-Soviet prejudices which weakens Johnson's desperate and sin-cere attempt to indict the British Government, and so restore the honour and prestige of the British people, who, by and large, opposed Eden in his great adventure. THE SUEZ WAR. By Paul John-son. Published by MacGibbon & Kee. (With foreword by Aneurin Bevan.) 12s.--L.B.

HOWARD FAST TRIO  Howard Fast, at his best, is very, very good. But not even his most ardent admirers will be able to claim that his most recent works are anywhere near his best. That he is versatile is shown by the fact that his three most recent works are a play (General Washington and the Water Witch), a collection of short stories (The Last Supper), and a novel (The Story of Lola Gregg). But in none of them does he approach the great writing of which he is capable, and which reveals itself only in his historical novels. Not that one can brush aside any of these recent works as worthless. They are far from that. But they are, perhaps, unworthy of the talent which created them. Of the play there is little to say. It is a fantasy, woven around that fateful moment at which Washington decided to lead the beaten, broken and battered remnants of the revolutionary army back across the Delaware River, to attack a vastly superior force of imperial troop-the moment which turned seemingly certain defeat into a crushing revolutionary victory. "My opinion," writes Fast in a foreword, "is that (this work) he is a more truthful Washington than I presented in The Unvanquished." Perhaps so. Certainly he is more human, more given to human weaknesses than the cold, aloof character of "The Unvanquished," published at the beginning of World War II. But he is also a less heroic figure, a less historic figure than the earlier Fast portrait of the great bourgeois revolutionary leader. And therefore, it seems to me, a literary portrait of less validity and power than Fast's earlier one. The fantasy links the revolutionary past with the witch-hunt of revolutionary ideas of the present, making of Washington not only yesterday's revolutionary hero, but also the symbol of today's revolutionary martyrs. The theme of witch-hunting appears to have become something of an obsession with Fast. I say this not in criticism so much as in sorrow. The theme runs powerfully through the majority of the stories in "The Last Supper," and is the whole theme of "Lola Gregg." That it is so is understandable; Fast has been persecuted, hunted and imprisoned by the American witch-hunters. Such a life as his in the past ten years, such an hysteria as America's in the past ten years, cannot fail to leave deep-etched scars upon a writer of sensitivity and courage. But the witch-hunt is notand never will be the whole of life in America; it is the bitterest and the blackest part, but only part. An obsession with the dark, drying and decadent is an unlikely field for literature to flourish, especially when that literature is to be born from the pen of a man like Fast, whose whole mind and outlook seeks out the growing, living and flowering side of life. Fast is too large an artist not to be aware that his latest work falls short of his strivings. "This little tale," he writes in an epilogue to "Lola Gregg," suffers from the author's own experience. The making of literature is, in my opinion, a matter of reflection, contemplation and objectivity but for more years than I care to recall, all of these have been denied me. The literature of agony, written, as so many press reporters' books are, in order to drive home a single, simple point. "Our leaders are guilty men. So long as they go unpunished, all of us are accessories after the fact." Probably so. It IS difficult to write from such close quarters. And nowhere is this more apparent than in the final chapter of the story, which Fast himself describes as having "... a degree of melodramatic implausibility." For this chapter is based not on the generalised experience of life as interpreted through the artist's eyes, but on an actual incident which happened to an American Communist war hero, Robert Thompson. In this final lunatic act of a mad age, Thompson was brutally assaulted in prison by a fellow prisoner, a Croatian charged with a breach of the immigration laws, who hoped thus to demonstrate his thorough "Americanism," as it is defined by McCarthy mania. Perhaps there is, in the Lola Gregg version of this horror, validity. But certainly there is no greatness. "The Last Supper," like most collections of short stories, is both good and bad in patches. At its best, as in "Christ in Cuernacava," and "My Father," it is very good, producing all the great compassion and humanity of Fast at his best. Perhaps, significantly, both these stories keep off the well-trod path of...
the witch-hunt obsession. From this it does not follow that all the many stories about the witch-hunt fall short. Some do. But others, and, significantly again, those which are not so close to the reality of Fast's own life and agony, come close to his very best work. "Upraised Pinion" tells the tale of a former Communist who decides to make a deal with the F.B.I., at the expense of his comrades, while "A Walk Home" reverses the pattern to a profoundly moving story of the simple worker who refuses to deal in human lives with the F.B.I., because he places too high a value on his manhood. Like everything he writes, this collection of Fast's short stories will help to "end this long period of insanity," and so restore the conditions of life in America in which Fast will once again produce the works of greatness and validity which were his before the insanity became endemic. HOWARD FAST: General Washington and the Water Witch. Play in three acts, 11s. The Last Supper and Other Stories. The Story of Lola Gregg a novel, 10s. 6d. All published by The Bodley Head. L.B.
cases, he does not portray the life of the Zulu people, their suffering, their pleasure, their hopes and fears. He wrote Wordsworth, Tennyson, Byron and others. Most of Vilakazi's poems are works of "art for art's sake." Except in a few University of the Witwatersrand. He introduced a new feature into the realm of Zulu literature. His work "Inkondlo ka First Novels and Poetry In the late thirties the late Dr. B. Wallet Vilakazi emerged on the literary scene. He was a AFRICAN youth in the cities and paradoxically portrays the virtues of the old way of lifethe humble existence in the kraal. ZULU WRITING By M. B. YENGWA, Author of "Inqaba ka LITERATURE THE first pioneers who landed on Zulu soil wrote what might be described as a tourist's view of life in Zululand. Captain Allen Gardiner was one of those who visited Natal and Zululand during the last century, and he is known for the books he wrote in English, like his "Journey to the Zulu Country," in which he described Zulu social organisation and the strong bias in favour of military organisation and discipline. It is to Allen Gardiner that we are indebted for the pictures and descriptions of the Zulu personalities which are in James Stuart's Zulu books. The first Zulu literature emerged about 60 years ago, near the close of the 19th century. Stuart's books ranged from Zulu legend and mythology to historical accounts. He reduced to writing many of the " Iziniganekwane" (tales and fables) and would earn the name of the Zulu Aesop. His "Vusezakithi" (Reviver of our own stories) is based wholly on these fables. Then, in his " Hlangakhula," he occasionally relates a true event in Zulu history. It is in these works that we find the preservation of the Zulu verse work "Izibongo," the praises to the important Zulu kings. Taking Roots For two or three decades after the publication of Stuart's books there was no work of any consequence in Zulu literature. It was not until Dr. John L. 'Dube, the founder and former ZULU WRITING By M. B. YENGWA, Author of "Inqaba ka Mabelemade" principal of the Ohlange Institute, published his "Intsila ka Shaka," which ranks very high among Zulu literary works, that Zulu writing began to grow firm roots. From about 1930 onwards, we find a constant stream of new writers employing a variety of themes and styles, all making their contribution to Zulu literature. While Stuart was an Englishman, the modern Zulu writers are almost without exception Zulu-speaking Africans. Dr. Dube's "Intsila ka Shaka" is patterned as a novel although some critics contest that it not one. It has its setting and background in Zululand. It depicts life at the Zulu court and portrays Zulu gallantry very well. Other works by Dr. Dube are "Isitha Somuntu Nguye Uqobo Lwakhe" (A Black Man's Enemy is Himself); and "Ukuziphatha Kahle" (Good Manners). Both these are didactic and moral essays. In the early twenties appeared the first works of Mr. R. R. R. Dhlomo, the present editor of the Zulu weekly, "Ilanga Lase Natal." He has specialised in historical novels, portraying Zulu monarchs like "Ushaka," " UDingane," " UMpande" and, lately, " UCetshwayo." His works are written in good prose, but as historical works they have the weakness of being incomplete and dependant on Zulu history as told by the White historian. Dhlomo's books, as almost all Zulu works, are written for use in the schools. They have to be passed by the Literature Committee of the Bantu Education Department. This might explain some of the obvious omissions of certain events in Zulu history. Apart from the historical novels, Dhlomo has written "Indlela Yababi," in which he ridicules the morals of African youth in the cities and paradoxically portrays the virtues of the old way of life-the humble existence in the kraal. First Novels and Poetry In the late thirties the late Dr. B. Wallet Vilakazi emerged on the literary scene. He was a Doctor of Literature and a brilliant scholar of Bantu philology and linguistics, a lecturer in Bantu studies at the University of the Witwatersrand. He introduced a new feature into the realm of Zulu literature. His work "Inkondlo ka Zulu" was a book of Zulu verse modelled after the great works of the English 18th and 19th century poets, Wordsworth, Tennyson, Byron and others. Most of Vilakazi's poems are works of "art for art's sake." Except in a few cases, he does not portray the life of the Zulu people, their suffering, their pleasure, their hopes and fears. He wrote
about Nature and the glory of the Great Kings. Vilakazi is also the first man to introduce a true Zulu novel. His "Noma Nini" is a brilliant romantic novel with its background in (Continued on Back Page) FIGHTING TALK. MAY, 1957 PAGE FIFTEEN
ZULU WRITING (Continued from Page 15) the Groutville Mission Reserve, during the early years of civilisation in Natal. Incidentally, Umvoti, better known as Groutville, was the birth-place of Dr. Vilakazi. Vilakazi also wrote "liDiniziswayo ka Jobe," a historical novel about the prominent chief of the Mtetwa tribe and at one time the most powerful tribe in Natal, later conquered and annexed to the Zulu tribe tribe by Shaka. His other novel, "Nje Nempela," is based on Bambata's armed resistance of 1906. It is well plotted and the use of language is very good. In collaboration with his colleague, Dr. C. M. Doke, Vilakazi also produced a Zulu-English dictionary. Eman H. Made is another writer who has made a name for himself. He started writing by producing "Amaqnawe Omlando," a survey of European history from Julius Caesar to Alfred the Great. His novel, "Indlal'fa Yase Harrisdale," is well written and he produced also a collection of poems entitled "Umti Wokwazi Nezinye Izingoma." He has been writing consistently since the publication of his first books, and his later works show great improvement. C. L. Nyembezi, a professor of African Studies at Fort Hare, has written "Mtanami Mtanami" (My Child, My Child), which is considered the best Zulu novel to date. He depicts the changing scene in African life from the patriarchal and pastoral economy to the industrial and capitalist economy, and its attendant evils. His pen has also produced "Ubudoda Abukhulelwa," a captivating novel. The 'forties saw quite a number of new writers on the scene. To mention only a few, B. J. Malinga wrote "Umbazwane" and other works, L. Mcwango wrote "Manhia"; J. W. Nxumalo wrote "Uzwelonke" and I wrote "Iqabaka ka Mabelemade." In the field of poetry, too, others have followed Vilakazi and Made, among them the late Mihembu, Kunene and Dhlamini. Drama has been neglected to some extent in Zulu literature. Ndebele's "UGubudela ka No Matshali" is a fine play, cleverly written, which is based on an old Zulu legend of a man who outwitted the cannibals. Other works in drama are translations from English. K. E. Masinga, a Zulu announcer at the Durban station of the S.A.B.C., has produced a few Shakespearean plays translated into Zulu. Another man who has translated Shakespeare is O. Shange. Zulu literature is still in its infancy, but there is no doubt that in the last 20 years it has made tremendous strides. Writers first produced collections of short, unrelated stories, mostly about old Zulu history and folklore. After Dr. Vilakazi's "Noma Nini" writers have produced stories with good plots and far more original and imaginative in conception. They have drawn their plots by and large from rich Zulu history, though many have plotted their stories from the changing social and economic conditions of African society. Censorship However, African writers have thus far not been able to interpret African life as it truly obtains today. Their characters are those of the mine boy, baffled and afterwards corrupted by city life. They have not yet characterised a Mandela, educated, independent and politically victimised. They have not yet created an Alexander, rich, successful and prosperous in business. It is obvious why the writers avoid such plots and characters. These books would not be accepted by the Literature Committee and the only market of consequence for Zulu books is the schools. The picture painted by writers might be accurate of the present day South Africa but, even if real, such themes would illuminate the situation that officials policy tries to suppress: the emergence of Africans to full equality with other South Africans, despite attempts to keep them in perpetual subjugation.